In the United States Court of Federal Claims

OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 18-20V UNPUBLISHED

INGRID M. LARISH,

Petitioner,

٧.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES.

Respondent.

Chief Special Master Corcoran

Filed: December 13, 2019

Special Processing Unit (SPU); Damages Decision Based on Proffer; Influenza (Flu) Vaccine; Shoulder Injury Related to Vaccine Administration (SIRVA)

Matthew F. Belanger, Faraci Lange, LLP, Rochester, NY, for petitioner.

Dhairya Divyakant Jani, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for respondent.

DECISION AWARDING DAMAGES¹

On January 3, 2018, Ingrid Larish filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*,² (the "Vaccine Act"). Petitioner alleges that she suffered a left shoulder injury related to vaccine administration ("SIRVA") as a result of the influenza ("flu") vaccine administered on October 31, 2015. Petition at 1. The case was assigned to the Special Processing Unit of the Office of Special Masters.

On September 6, 2019, a ruling on entitlement was issued, finding Petitioner entitled to compensation for her SIRVA. On December 12, 2019, Respondent filed a proffer on award of compensation ("Proffer") indicating Petitioner should be awarded \$100,666.48. Proffer at 1. In the Proffer, Respondent represented that Petitioner

¹ Because this unpublished ruling contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, I am required to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). This means the ruling will be available to anyone with access to the internet. In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), Petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will redact such material from public access.

² National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all "§" references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2012).

agrees with the proffered award. *Id.* at 1-2. Based on the record as a whole, I find that Petitioner is entitled to an award as stated in the Proffer.

Pursuant to the terms stated in the attached Proffer, I award Petitioner a lump sum payment of \$100,666.48 (representing compensation in the amount of \$100,000.00 for pain and suffering and \$666.48 in unreimbursable expenses) in the form of a check payable to Petitioner. This amount represents compensation for all damages that would be available under § 15(a).

The clerk of the court is directed to enter judgment in accordance with this decision.³

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Brian H. Corcoran

Brian H. Corcoran Chief Special Master

³ Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), entry of judgment can be expedited by the parties' joint filing of notice renouncing the right to seek review.

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF FEDERAL CLAIMS OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

*

Petitioner,

v. * No. 18-20V (ECF)

* CHIEF SPECIAL MASTER * BRIAN H. CORCORAN

SECRETARY OF HEALTH *

AND HUMAN SERVICES.

*

Respondent.

RESPONDENT'S PROFFER ON AWARD OF COMPENSATION

On September 3, 2019, respondent filed a Vaccine Rule 4(c) report advising that, in light of Special Master Dorsey's Fact Ruling finding onset of petitioner's alleged SIRVA within 48 hours of vaccination, and the medical evidence submitted in this case, respondent would not defend the case on other grounds during proceedings before the Office of Special Masters, and requested a ruling on the record. On September 6, 2019, Special Master Dorsey entered a Ruling on Entitlement, finding petitioner, Ingrid M. Larish, entitled to Vaccine Act compensation for her Table SIRVA injury.

I. Amount of Compensation

Respondent now proffers that, based on the Special Master's entitlement decision and the evidence of record, petitioner should be awarded \$100,666.48. This amount represents all

¹ The parties have no objection to the amount of the proffered award of damages. Assuming the special master issues a damages decision in conformity with this proffer, the parties waive their right to seek review of such damages decision. However, respondent reserves his right, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-12(e), to seek review of the Special Master's September 6, 2019, entitlement decision.

elements of compensation to which petitioner would be entitled under 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-15(a). Petitioner agrees.

II. Items of Compensation and Form of the Award

The parties recommend that the compensation provided to petitioner should be made through a lump sum payment described below, and request that the Chief Special Master's damages decision and the Court's judgment award the following: ²

A. <u>Petitioner's Damages</u>

A lump sum payment of \$100,666.48 (comprised of \$100,000.00 for pain and suffering and \$666.48 in unreimbursable expenses) in the form of a check payable to petitioner, Ingrid M. Larish.

B. Guardianship

Petitioner is a competent adult. Evidence of guardianship is not required in this case.

Respectfully submitted,

JOSEPH H. HUNT Assistant Attorney General

C. SALVATORE D'ALESSIO Acting Director Torts Branch, Civil Division

CATHARINE E. REEVES Deputy Director Torts Branch, Civil Division

GABRIELLE M. FIELDING Assistant Director Torts Branch, Civil Division

² Should petitioner die prior to entry of judgment, the parties reserve the right to move the Court for appropriate relief. In particular, respondent would oppose any award for future medical expenses, future pain and suffering, and future lost wages.

/s/ DHAIRYA D. JANI

DHAIRYA D. JANI
Trial Attorney
U.S. Department of Justice
Torts Branch, Civil Division
P.O. Box 146
Benjamin Franklin Station
Washington, D.C. 20044-0146
Tel: (202) 616-4356

Dated: December 12, 2019